PUBLIC MEETING AGENDA

REVISED

HISTORIC PRESERVATION COMMISSION
March 10th, 2016

Hatton Hall
34 East 7th Street, Tempe, AZ 85281
6:00 PM

Call to Order

Roll Call

1. Call to Audience: Persons wishing to address the Commission on any matter may do so at the discretion of the Chair. However, Arizona Open Meeting Law limits Commission discussion to matters listed on the posted agenda. Other topics may be placed on a future agenda for discussion.

2. CONSIDERATION OF MEETING MINUTES: 02/11/2016

3. Consideration of Archaeological Sensitive Classification Subcommittee minutes: 04/09/2015

4. Discussion and possible direction regarding documentation guidelines for the Arizona Public Service-funded Ocotillo Power Plant pre-demolition report (1500 East University Drive) – John Southard

5. Character Area 3 Historic and Cultural Resources Mapping Presentation – Robbie Aaron

6. Chair / Staff Updates

7. Current Events / Announcements / Future Agenda Items
   • Member Announcements
   • Staff Announcements

Adjourn

For further information on the above agenda items, contact Community Development, Planning Division (480) 350-8331. Agenda items may not be heard in the order listed. The City of Tempe endeavors to make all public meetings accessible to persons with disabilities. With 48 hours advance notice, special assistance is available at public meetings for sight and/or hearing-impaired persons. Please call 350-8331 (voice) or 350-8400 (TDD) to request an accommodation to participate in a public meeting.
Agenda Item 2
Chair Gregory called the meeting to order at 6:06 pm

1. Call to Audience: No Reply

2. Consideration of meeting minutes: 01/21/2016

Commissioner Bilsbarrow moved the Commission to approve the January 21, 2016 minutes as written. The motion was seconded by Commissioner Turner and passed with a vote of 5-0.

3. Request for a certificate of appropriateness approving proposed alterations to Tempe (Hayden) Butte for Steel Tank Reservoir Rehabilitation – Hayden Butte West, located at 222 East 5th Street.

   • Overview of project by Public Works staff
   • Certificate of Appropriateness requested for Phase 2 (involving the western tank)
   • Proposed phase two rehabilitations are substantially the same as for those approved for phase 1
   • Discussion of rock-fall protection measures
   • SRP-MIC supports the project, including HPO involvement in the yet-to-be-determined slope management plan
   • Staff recommends approval of the Certificate of Appropriateness

Commissioner Shears moved the Commission to approve the certificate of appropriateness for phase two of the Tempe (Hayden) Butte Steel Tank Reservoir rehabilitation. The motion was seconded by Commissioner Bilsbarrow and passed with a vote of 5-0.

4. Discuss and Consider Papago Park Preserve proposal – Darlene Justus

   • Presentation by Darlene Justus, north Tempe resident, on the proposed Papago Park Preserve
   • Stressed importance of educating those who visit the park or work on maintaining the park to avoid unintentional damage to natural, prehistoric, and historic resources
   • Discussion of a petition that is circulating to create the “Papago Park Preserve” – over 1,100 signatures collected thus far
   • Preserve effort leaders believe a preserve designation would serve as a stronger preservation measure than the existing Federal patent
Discussion of historic WPA & CCC structures in Papago Park
Proposal to be discussed at the April 7, 2016 City Council issue review session

5. Chair / Staff Updates

- Update on Tomlinson Estates design guidelines drafting process
- Introduction of Robbie Aaron, recently hired Planner 1+
- New intern Kevin Gottfried working on an inventory of WPA sidewalk stamps in Maple-Ash
- Indian gaming grant application for Moeur Park improvements in works; HPO met with City staff regarding historic WPA structures
- Archaeologically-sensitive Classification Subcommittee has sunned; objectives achieved
- Application submitted for $100,000 Indian gaming grant to fund the production of an HP Plan
- A $75,000,000 appropriation for the Tempe Streetcar is included in President Obama’s Federal budget proposal
- HPC appointments will be on an upcoming City Council agenda
- 3 capital improvement projects (CIP) are currently being considered for funding
- Update on Hayden House protection plan
- No development agreement yet for Hayden Flour Mill

Chair Gregory adjourned the meeting at 6:57 p.m.

Prepared by: Billy Kiser, HPO Intern
Reviewed by: John Larsen Southard, Senior Planner / Historic Preservation Officer

Andrea Gregory, Chair
Agenda Item 3
MINUTES OF THE
HISTORIC PRESERVATION COMMISSION
ARCHAEOLOGICALLY SENSITIVE CLASSIFICATION SUBCOMMITTEE
April 9, 2015

Hatton Hall at the Governor Benjamin B. Moeur House Campus
34 E. 7th Street, Tempe, AZ  85281
5:30 PM

Members Present:
Kori Turner, Chair
Andrea Gregory

City Staff Present:
Billy Kiser, Graduate Intern
Holly Solis, Graduate Intern

Members Absent:
Scott Solliday

John Larsen Southard, Historic Preservation Officer

Meeting called to order at 5:40 p.m.

1. Call to Audience: Persons wishing to address the Subcommittee on any matter may do so at the discretion of the Chair. However, Arizona Open Meeting Law limits Subcommittee discussion to matters listed on the posted agenda. Other topics may be placed on a future agenda for discussion.

   • No Reply

2. Approval of March 12th, 2015 Meeting Minutes

   • Commissioner Gregory moved to approve the minutes as written. The motion was seconded by Chair Turner and passed with a vote of 2-0.

3. Discuss and Consider Draft Archaeologically Sensitive Classification Subcommittee Report

   • Nothing in the report indicates that City staff will have complete and unrestricted access to AZSite
   • Chair Turner and Commissioner Gregory to provide ongoing assistance with respect to archaeology in Tempe and consultation on how to interact with local tribes
   • Subcommittee to be temporarily retained for possible future consultation; City ordinance allows subcommittee to remain intact for up to one year
   • Commissioner Gregory moved to forward the draft document, as prepared by Southard, to HPC for review and consideration. The motion was seconded by Chair Turner and passed with a vote of 2-0.

4. Chair / Staff Updates

   • Southard update on transient activities at Loma del Rio north of Tempe Town Lake
   • Discussion of Section 106 training
   • Update on Tempe Butte water tower project; there will be archaeological monitoring on-site during construction and renovation

Chair Turner adjourned the meeting at 5:58 p.m.

Prepared by: Billy Kiser, Graduate Intern
Reviewed by: John Larsen Southard, Senior Planner / Historic Preservation Officer
Korri Turner, Chair
Agenda Item 4
APS is planning to modernize the Ocotillo Power Plant in Tempe.

The proposed project will create a cleaner-running, more efficient plant using advanced technology to retire 1960s-era units.

The project provides several benefits, including:

- Supporting service reliability in the Phoenix metro area
- Being better for the environment
- Creating construction jobs and additional tax revenue for the local economy

**Key Project Details**

- Install five 102-MW gas-fired combustion turbines
- Retire and remove two 110-MW gas-fired steam generators, built in 1960
- Maintain in service two 55-MW gas-fired combustion turbines
- Remove steam cooling towers used by current generators and oil storage tanks

**Generation Benefits**

- Upgrades Ocotillo’s generation capabilities with advanced, high-efficiency technology
- Maintains reliability and key generation source in Phoenix load center
- Enhances responsiveness with quick-start generators
- Site allows for integration of renewable resources to APS generation portfolio
- Increases generation capacity from current 330 MW to 620 MW

**Environmental Benefits**

- Decreases plant’s water-use and emission rates
- Improves overall plant noise conditions
- Reduces size of power plant stacks to about half the size of existing facilities
- Upgrades generating capacity without increasing plant footprint

**Economic Benefits**

- Provides an average of 114 jobs during construction
- Generates an estimated $3 million in total property taxes in first year of operation, increasing to $8 million by fifth year
Ocotillo Generation Project

Existing conditions

Simulation of proposed future conditions

* View from University Drive looking north

Proposed Timeline

2014 ➤
- Begin stakeholder engagement (February)
- Initiate permit processes with City of Tempe and Maricopa County (March)
- File application for Certificate of Environmental Compatibility (August) with Arizona Corporation Commission

2016 ➤
- Remove oil storage tanks
- Begin construction of new generation units

2018 ➤
- Place new generation units into production
- Begin to dismantle and remove current steam generators and related infrastructure

CS#1401060R4
May 20, 2014

Mr. Joseph Nucci
Tempe Historic Preservation Officer
P.O. Box 5002
Tempe AZ 85280

Dear Mr. Nucci:

As you may be aware, Arizona Public Service Company (APS) is in the early planning stages of a proposed project that would consist of a) the demolition and removal of portions of the existing Ocotillo Power Plant and various associated facilities and, b) the construction of five new natural gas-fired generating units and associated facilities at that same location. APS is consulting with your office because siting of the new facility falls under Arizona Revised Statutes (ARS) 40-360.03 which requires APS to file an application for a Certificate of Environmental Compatibility (CEC) which is subject to review by the Arizona Corporation Commission’s (ACC’s) Arizona Power Plant and Transmission Line Siting Committee (Siting Committee) under ARS 40-360.01 et seq. A short information sheet about the proposed project is included for your review.

Under ARS 40-360-06, “Factors to be considered in issuing a certificate of environmental compatibility,” #5 calls out that “Existing scenic areas, historic sites and structures or archaeological sites at or in the vicinity of the proposed site” are factors to be considered by the Siting Committee in determining whether or not to recommend issuance of a CEC.

Pursuant to the ACC Rules of Practice and Procedure R14-3-219 (#6), the application for a CEC shall “Describe any environmental studies applicant has performed or caused to be performed in connection with this application or intends to perform or cause to be performed in such connection, including the contemplated date of completion.” Furthermore, under R14-3 "Exhibits to Application,” Exhibit E shall “Describe any existing scenic areas, historic sites and structures or archaeological sites in the vicinity of the proposed facilities and state the effects, if any, the proposed facilities will have thereon.”

APS submits the following information for your review and comment as part of this process to support the ACC’s compliance with the Arizona State Historic Preservation Act (ARS 41-861 et seq.), which requires state agencies to consider the impacts of their programs on historic properties listed on, or eligible for, the Arizona Register of Historic Places.

Ocotillo Power Plant is located on private land, at 1500 E. University Blvd. in Tempe, Arizona. The site is within T1N, R4E, the SE1/4 of S14, G&SRB&M. While the entire APS-owned property consists of approximately 126 acres, it is estimated that only about 15.8 acres would be affected by the new construction, mostly on the western portion of the APS parcel. The majority of this area is currently occupied by three large fuel storage tanks, which would be demolished and removed. Another 10.4 acres
would be used for temporary construction offices, material laydown areas, and parking. The majority of the surface area of the 126 acre APS-owned property is disturbed and/or obscured by gravel, pavement, and existing facilities. Funding for the proposed project is private.

Discussions with APS management regarding a possible re-purposing of this property resulted in my recommendation that APS should perform the maximum level of due diligence should any possible future project require some level of state and/or Federal cultural resource compliance. It was felt that not only was this a prudent course of action, it was also the right thing to do and would be consistent with one of APS’s core corporate values, that of environmental stewardship.

Because virtually the entire ground surface at Ocotillo is disturbed and/or obscured, it was determined that a standard archaeological survey would have little value in trying to identify cultural resources. During June-July 2013, APS conducted geotechnical investigations on the property for the purpose of characterizing subsurface sediments. Due to the ground-disturbing nature of geotechnical boring, and also due to a desire to begin to understand the potential for subsurface cultural resources on the property, my office, in informal consultation with the Arizona State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO), determined that it would be appropriate to engage a professional archaeological consulting firm to monitor the boreholes for cultural resources and to take a cursory look at any possible exposures (such as the berms surrounding the existing fuel tanks) for the presence/absence of cultural resources.

APS hired URS Corporation to do the work. The resulting report, “Cultural Resource Records Review and Archaeological Monitoring of Geotechnical Investigations at the Ocotillo Power Plant, Tempe, Maricopa County, Arizona” (Rogan and Kirvan 2013) is enclosed for your review and comment. The report confirmed that “the Hohokam intensively occupied the area in the vicinity of the power plant parcel for several centuries.” It also noted that the prehistoric village was located on the Mesa terrace adjacent to the Salt River, while the power plant facility is located on the lower Lehi terrace. Previous investigations of the Lehi terrace have thus far identified evidence of prehistoric farming and related field houses on that lower terrace. Additionally, the borehole monitoring project identified a few hundred Hohokam artifacts from a highly disturbed context at the western end of the power plant parcel. The results of the geotechnical monitoring project were indeterminate with regard to the presence/absence of significant cultural resources.

After meeting with the SHPO to discuss the results of the geotechnical monitoring project in September 2013, we both agreed with Dr. Gene Rogge (with the archaeological contractor URS), that further archaeological testing was warranted. URS developed a phased backhoe archaeological testing program for the purpose of determining whether or not there might be preserved subsurface cultural resources in the Ocotillo parcel.

The Ocotillo Power Plant was constructed in 1958-1960, making it of historic age. To address the question of whether or not the power plant itself might be eligible for the Arizona Register of Historic Places, in October 2013, URS was tasked with completing an Arizona Historic Property Inventory Form, a copy of which is enclosed for your review and comment. URS has recommended that the existing Ocotillo facility was not eligible for the Arizona Register due to a lack of significance under any of the criteria A-D.
The next phase of archaeological testing work began in November 2013. The results of this phase of testing are reported in "Archaeological Testing at the Ocotillo Power Plant, Tempe, Maricopa County, Arizona" (Rogge, Kirvan, and Phillips 2014), a copy of which is enclosed for your review and comment. The project was significantly constrained by safety concerns due to the presence of subsurface infrastructure throughout the area. Nevertheless, some 424 meters (1,390 feet) of backhoe trench were put in to a depth of five feet, with an additional 50’ trench excavated to seven feet in depth. A single feature, a prehistoric irrigation canal was identified with associated artifacts, and further examination was made of a scattering of artifacts from disturbed context located along the berms surrounding the existing fuel tanks.

URS has recommended that the buried canal and the scatter of artifacts in disturbed context be designated as site AZ U:9:3:11 (ASM), and has recommended this site to be eligible to the Arizona Register of Historic Places under Criterion D for its information potential.

URS has also recommended that further archaeological testing be carried out, focusing on areas that might be disturbed during construction, and that deeper testing also be done when it is safe and prudent to do so. They also recommend archaeological monitoring during construction where deemed prudent, unless further testing on the site indicate there is little possibility for the discovery of human remains within the construction footprint.

APS believes that aside from the prehistoric canal feature and associated artifacts, specific definition of the proposed boundary of site AZ U:9:3:11 (ASM) is premature as the artifacts found away from the canal area are generally found on secondarily deposited berms consisting of fill and are therefore not in situ. Indeed, URS notes that “the site boundaries are somewhat arbitrary.” Further testing in the area to a depth of five feet failed to identify other prehistoric features or significant archaeological deposits.

That said, APS does agree with URS that further testing is necessary because the original testing project was constrained by safety concerns, and there is still some potential for archaeological deposits in areas that were off-limits due to safety issues, as well as at depths greater than five feet. APS intends to continue its identification efforts, and should any significant cultural resources/historic properties be identified during further testing, either the project would be redesigned to avoid impacts to those resources, or, a data recovery project would be designed in consultation with the SHPO and utilizing a professional cultural resource contractor to mitigate any adverse effects to any historic properties that may be encountered. If it cannot be avoided during construction, further work in the area of the canal will also be done to determine the extent of the canal and whether or not there is potential for the existence of a network of prehistoric field ditches.

APS is concurrently consulting with the SHPO and potentially interested tribes, and will keep your office informed of who specifically is consulted with, the results of those consultations, and any concerns or comments the other parties may have regarding this project.

APS very much appreciates the opportunity to work with your office. We ask that you please review the contents of this letter as well as the four enclosures and the recommendations contained therein. We request your concurrence with the adequacy of the cultural resource work to date as well as the recommendations in this letter and the enclosed documents, including the eligibility recommendation for the original plant
facility. We solicit any comments and feedback you may have, and shall continue coordinating closely with your office should the new power facility project be approved.

If you have any questions, comments, or issues, please feel free to contact me by email at jon.shumaker@aps.com or at the phone and address listed below. Thanks!

Sincerely,

[Signature]
Jon M. Shumaker, Archaeologist

Archaeological Services
Natural Resources Department
Arizona Public Service Company
P.O. Box 53933, M.S. 3372 s
Phoenix AZ 85072-3933
602-371-5298 Office
602-677-1747 Cell
602-371-5241 Fax

Enclosures:

Ocotillo Power Plant Modernization Fact Sheet

“Cultural Resource Records Review and Archaeological Monitoring of Geotechnical Investigations at the Ocotillo Power Plant, Tempe, Maricopa County, Arizona” (Rogan and Kirvan 2013)

Arizona Historic Property Inventory Form Inventory # OPP-1

"Archaeological Testing at the Ocotillo Power Plant, Tempe, Maricopa County, Arizona" (Rogge, Kirvan, and Phillips 2014)
July 14, 2014

Mr. Joseph Nucci
Tempe Historic Preservation Officer
P.O. Box 5002
Tempe AZ 85280

Dear Mr. Nucci:

As you are aware, Arizona Public Service Company (APS) is in the early planning stages of a proposed project that would consist of a) the demolition and removal of portions of the existing Ocotillo Power Plant and various associated facilities and, b) the construction of five new natural gas-fired generating units and associated facilities at that same location. APS is continuing to consult with the City of Tempe Historic Preservation Office (HPO) because siting of the new facility falls under Arizona Revised Statutes (ARS) 40-360.03, which requires APS to file an application for a Certificate of Environmental Compatibility (CEC) that is subject to review by the Arizona Corporation Commission’s (ACC’s) Arizona Power Plant and Transmission Line Siting Committee (Siting Committee) under ARS 40-360.01 et seq.

Under ARS 40-360-06, “Factors to be considered in issuing a certificate of environmental compatibility,” #5 calls out that “Existing scenic areas, historic sites and structures or archaeological sites at or in the vicinity of the proposed site” are factors to be considered by the Siting Committee in determining whether or not to recommend issuance of a CEC.

Pursuant to the ACC Rules of Practice and Procedure R14-3-219 (#6), the application for a CEC shall “Describe any environmental studies applicant has performed or caused to be performed in connection with this application or intends to perform or cause to be performed in such connection, including the contemplated date of completion.” Furthermore, under R14-3 “Exhibits to Application,” Exhibit E shall “Describe any existing scenic areas, historic sites and structures or archaeological sites in the vicinity of the proposed facilities and state the effects, if any, the proposed facilities will have thereon.”

APS acknowledges receipt of, and very much appreciates, your recent feedback and comments regarding the Ocotillo Power Plant facility both via phone call and your email of June 26, 2014. APS understands that the Tempe HPO concurs with the adequacy of the cultural resource work carried out to date, and the conclusions and recommendations laid out in the URS Cultural Resource Report 2014-4(AZ) with regard to prehistoric cultural resources at the site. APS also understands that Tempe HPO takes exception with URS’s finding that the power plant facility itself is not historically significant.

APS understands and acknowledges that the Tempe HPO believes the power plant facility to be “a landmark symbolic of the ne plus ultra period of community development in Tempe, and one demonstrative of events that have made a significant
contribution to the broad patterns of Arizona history.” Furthermore, the Tempe HPO believes that this mid-century interval represents the period of development “that arguably most profoundly shaped the feel and fabric of the built environment in Tempe, and it is a period that to date remains relatively undocumented.”

Additionally, the Tempe HPO states that “APS has yet to address and document the significance of its role in facilitating the mid-century period of development in the Valley,” and that “without this recognition and documentation, demolition of this iconographic facility would have an adverse effect on Tempe’s ability to interpret this most significant period of Tempe’s history.”

To address this concern, your office has requested that APS consider mitigating this effect by developing a context related to “Infrastructure for Community Development in Tempe, 1958 to 1975.” Tempe HPO believes that this would provide a lasting value to the East Valley preservation community as it begins in earnest to evaluate this most formative period of your community’s history.

APS has carefully reviewed and considered Tempe HPO’s comments. You clearly indicated in a recent phone call that Tempe HPO’s goal here is not to preserve the power plant, but simply to ensure that an effort is made to adequately document the plant’s role in Tempe history, set within this mid-century context (~1958 to 1975). You indicated that the development of such a document would adequately mitigate any potential adverse effect of the demolition of plant facilities.

APS has long been a supporter of historic preservation in Arizona, and believes this to be a fair and reasonable request. As such, APS proposes to offer the City of Tempe HPO a grant in the amount of $15,000 for the specific purpose of hiring a qualified historian to develop and write a short history of the Ocotillo Power Plant set within the context of “Infrastructure for Community Development in Tempe, 1958 to 1975.” APS believes the City of Tempe HPO is better situated to directly manage such a project and that a grant to Tempe HPO would ensure that the project meets Tempe HPO’s needs and requirements.

APS is concurrently consulting with the Arizona State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) and potentially interested tribes, and will keep your office informed of who specifically is consulted with, the results of those consultations, and any concerns or comments the other parties may have regarding this project.

As always, APS very much appreciates the opportunity to work with your office. We ask that you please review the contents of this letter and the recommendations contained therein. If the Tempe HPO finds that the proposed grant would adequately mitigate all of Tempe HPO’s concerns and issues regarding the project, APS requests that you please sign, date, and return a copy of this letter to my office at your soonest convenience.

We continue to solicit any other comments and feedback you may have regarding this project, and shall continue coordinating closely with your office should the new power facility project be approved.

If you have any questions, comments, or issues, please feel free to contact me by email at jon.shumaker@aps.com or at the phone and address listed below. Thanks!
Sincerely,

Jon M. Shumaker, Archaeologist

Archaeological Services
Natural Resources Department
Arizona Public Service Company
P.O. Box 53933, M.S. 3372 s
Phoenix AZ 85072-3933
602-371-5298 Office
602-677-1747 Cell
602-371-5241 Fax

SIGNATURE FOR TEMPE HPO CONCURRENCE __________________________ DATE ____________

PRINTED NAME and TITLE __________________________
On February 12th, 2015, the Tempe Historic Preservation Commission provided the following guidance as relates to the Arizona Public Service-funded study of Ocotillo Power Plant and its role in Tempe development, 1958-1975:

MOTION [VICE-CHAIR SOLLIDAY]: Move to provide the following direction to the project vendor: The APS-funded history of Ocotillo Power Plant, set within the context of "Infrastructure for Community Development in Tempe, 1958-1975," shall include the following topics, measured in terms of overall project scope percentage:

40% Construction / operation of the Ocotillo Power Plant as a component of Tempe development during the period 1958-1975, with an emphasis on rationale for construction and how the electricity produced impacted Tempe development

30% Photographic documentation, with 2/3 (two-thirds) of this allocation devoted to historic imagery and 1/3 (one-third) devoted to present-day photography

30% APS corporate history and culture, Ocotillo Power Plant employees, and the significance of the Ocotillo facility as relates to nearby residential developments and industrial operations

Contractor selection and subsequent project execution shall be completed in consultation with the Tempe Historic Preservation Officer. Further, the Commission wishes to convey the importance of access to APS corporate archives during the course of this project, and respectfully requests that the project team be granted full access to APS archival holdings.

SECOND [COMMISSIONER SHEARS]: APPROVED, 7-0.

John Larsen Southard, Tempe Historic Preservation Officer

February 27th, 2015
Mr. Jon Shumaker  
Arizona Public Service

Re: Tempe HPC Direction on Ocotillo Power Plant Photography

March 17, 2015

Dear Jon:

On February 12th, 2015, the Tempe Historic Preservation Commission ("HPC") approved project scope guidelines for the Arizona Public Service-funded Ocotillo Power Plant study examining the plant within the context of Tempe development during the period 1958 to 1975. HPC direction relating to project scope, expressed as a percentage of project resources to be devoted to each topic, is as follows:

40%  Construction / operation of the Ocotillo Power Plant as a component of Tempe development during the period 1958-1975, with an emphasis on rationale for construction and how the electricity produced impacted Tempe development

30%  Photographic documentation, with 2/3 (two-thirds) of this allocation devoted to historic imagery and 1/3 (one-third) devoted to present-day photography

30%  APS corporate history and culture, Ocotillo Power Plant employees, and the significance of the Ocotillo facility as relates to nearby residential developments and industrial operations

As relates to the present-day photography directive, the HPC expressed a desire for professional quality photographs. As the term professional quality lacks specificity, please accept the following as clarification of HPC intent regarding project photography:

The present-day photography associated with the Ocotillo Power Plant study should be of professional quality, meaning that the photographer is to be an individual for whom photography is a primary professional function. Although the present-day photography completed as part of the study need not be as extensive in nature as that which would be submitted with an Historic American Engineering Record report, the HPC does wish to receive photographs documenting all elevations of any buildings or structures slated for demolition, detailed photography of key elements of said buildings or structures, photos of said buildings or structures that include peripheral buildings or structures, and contextual images showing said buildings or structures as a component of the site and the area immediately surrounding the site.

I hope this additional direction proves useful. Should you have any questions or require additional information, please do not hesitate to contact me via e-mail at john_southard@tempe.gov or by phone at (480) 350-8870.

Respectfully,

John Larsen Southard  
Senior Planner / Historic Preservation Officer