Staff Summary Report

Tempe

To: Mayor & City Council
Through: City Manager

Agenda Item Number 41
Meeting Date: 05/10/01

SUBJECT: **APPROVAL OF AN INTERGOVERNMENTAL PROGRAMMATIC AGREEMENT RELATED TO HISTORIC PRESERVATION TREATMENT OF THE SALT RIVER PROJECT (SRP) MAIN CANALS, LATERALS, AND ASSOCIATED FEATURES OPERATED BY SRP WITH THE UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR BUREAU OF RECLAMATION**

PREPARED BY: Joseph G. Nucci, Tempe Redevelopment (350-8870)

REVIEWED BY: Mark C. Vinson, Historic Preservation Officer (350-8367)
C. Brad Woodford, City Attorney (350-8229)

BRIEF: Resolution authorizing the Tempe Historic Preservation Office to enter into an Intergovernmental Agreement to streamline the cultural resource management process with regard to the historic SRP canal system.

COMMENTS: **MULTI-PURPOSE STADIUM FACILITY (0902-32) RESOLUTION NO. 2001.25.** Resolution authorizing the City of Tempe to join with the Bureau of Reclamation, the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation, the Arizona State Historic Preservation Office, the Arizona State Historic Preservation Office, the Salt River Project (SRP), and other local municipal governments.

Document Name: (20010510cacc01) Supporting Documents: Yes

SUMMARY: The purpose of the Intergovernmental Agreement is to facilitate and streamline Bureau of Reclamation compliance with Federal and State mandates for cultural resource management for that portion of the historic SRP canal system lying within the City of Tempe.

Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act prescribes the process whereby Federal Agencies consider the effects of their undertakings on historic properties and provide the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation with a reasonable opportunity to comment on such undertakings.

The Bureau of Reclamation (Reclamation), as the lead Federal agency, has determined that modifications and system upgrades to the historic SRP canal system resulting from required operation and maintenance and pressures from urban development will have continued effects upon the system, its features and facilities. Reclamation has prepared and entered into this Programmatic Agreement with the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation (ACHP), the Arizona State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO), Salt River Project (SRP), and with other local municipal governments, to interpret and conserve the historic significance of the SRP canal system. By this plan, Reclamation intends

to ensure that cultural resources are managed satisfactorily and efficiently in accordance with the requirements of Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act.

Since 1989, Reclamation has cooperated with the Arizona State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) to mitigate the effects of their undertakings on the historic SRP canal system largely through documentation in accordance with Historic American Engineering Records (HAER) protocol. This Programmatic Agreement recognizes HAER documentation will continue to be adequate mitigation for the main canals, however, Reclamation is still required to consult on all undertakings affecting associated laterals. Furthermore, although HAER documentation has been completed and is agreed to be adequate mitigation, Reclamation will continue to consult with the Arizona SHPO on undertakings in specially designated areas to be identified in the Programmatic Agreement. Certain areas within the SRP system have received local, state, or national historic designations. Other areas may receive this designation in the future. Working jointly with SRP and in consultation with the SHPO and involved municipalities, Reclamation will identify special areas for preservation.

This Agreement is concerned solely with that portion of the SRP canal system that is owned by the United States or for which the United States has obtained easements. This system includes nine main canals, approximately 1200 miles of secondary or tertiary laterals and associated facilities most of which are owned by the United States or have easements in place, extending throughout the Valley metropolitan area and into Tempe. Accordingly, Reclamation is inviting the City of Tempe to concur in this Programmatic Agreement.

Concurrence with this Programmatic Agreement provides Tempe the opportunity to assist in identifying special areas for preservation, to join in the interpretation and conservation of the historic SRP canal system in Tempe, and to expedite processing of planned and future projects, including canal relocation for stadium development.

This Agreement has been concurred in by the State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO), and reviewed by the Historic Preservation Commission, and the Historic Preservation Officer; all of whom bring a recommendation for concurrence.

Upon approval of this resolution by City Council, the Tempe Historic Preservation Office will enter into an Intergovernmental Programmatic Agreement with the Bureau of Reclamation, the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation (ACHP), the Arizona State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO), Salt River Project (SRP), and with other local municipal governments, to interpret and conserve the historic significance of the SRP canal system.

FISCAL NOTE: None. No additional funding is necessary for the approval of this Intergovernmental Agreement.

RECOMMENDATION: That the City Council approve Resolution No. 2001.25.

RESOLUTION NO. 2001.25

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF TEMPE, ARIZONA, AUTHORIZING CONCURRENCE IN AN INTERGOVERNMENTAL PROGRAMMATIC AGREEMENT FOR HISTORIC PRESERVATION TREATMENT FOR THE SALT RIVER PROJECT SYSTEM OF HISTORIC MAIN CANALS, LATERALS, AND ASSOCIATED FEATURES OPERATED AND MAINTAINED BY THE SLAT RIVER PROJECT FOR THE BUREAU OF RECLAMATION.

****

WHEREAS, Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act prescribes the process whereby Federal agencies consider the effects of their undertakings on historic properties and provides the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation with a reasonable opportunity to comment on such undertakings; and

WHEREAS, the Bureau of Reclamation, as the lead Federal agency, has determined that modifications and system upgrades to the historic SRP canal system resulting from required operation and maintenance and from continued pressures from urban development will have continued effects upon the system, its features and facilities; and

WHEREAS, the Bureau of Reclamation has prepared and entered into this Programmatic Agreement with the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation (ACHP), the Arizona State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO), Salt River Project (SRP), and with other local municipal governments to interpret and conserve the historic significance of the SRP canal system; and

WHEREAS, by this plan, Reclamation intends to ensure that cultural resources are managed satisfactorily and efficiently in accordance with the requirements of Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act; and

WHEREAS, by concurrence in this Programmatic Agreement Tempe may assist in identifying special areas for preservation, join in the interpretation and conservation of the historic SRP canal system, and expedite processing of planned and future projects; and

WHEREAS, the City of Tempe wishes to meet these opportunities in a most prepared and appropriate manner;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF TEMPE ARIZONA, as follows:

Section 1: The Intergovernmental Programmatic Agreement For Historic Preservation Treatment For The Salt River Project System Of Historic Main Canals, Laterals, And Associated Features Operated And Maintained By The Slat River Project For The Bureau Of Reclamation is hereby approved.

Section 2: The Historic Preservation Officer is authorized and directed to concur in and execute the Intergovernmental Programmatic Agreement with the Bureau of Reclamation, the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation (ACHP), the Arizona State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO), Salt River Project (SRP), and with other local municipal governments.

PASSED AND ADOPTED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF TEMPE, ARIZONA, this _____ day of _____, 2001.

_____
MAYOR

ATTEST:

_____
City Clerk

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

_____
City Attorney

PROGRAMMATIC AGREEMENT

AMONG
THE BUREAU OF RECLAMATION, PHOENIX AREA OFFICE, THE ADVISORY COUNCIL ON HISTORIC PRESERVATION, THE ARIZONA STATE HISTORIC PRESERVATION OFFICE, AND SALT RIVER PROJECT

REGARDING

HISTORIC PRESERVATION TREATMENT FOR THE SALT RIVER PROJECT SYSTEM OF HISTORIC MAIN CANALS, LATERALS, AND ASSOCIATED FEATURES OPERATED AND MAINTAINED BY THE SALT RIVER PROJECT FOR THE BUREAU OF RECLAMATION

WHEREAS, the Bureau of Reclamation (Reclamation), as the lead Federal agency, has determined that modifications and system upgrades to the Salt River Project (SRP) system of main canals, laterals, and associated features resulting from required operation and maintenance (O & M) and from continued pressures from urban development in and around the greater Phoenix, Arizona, metropolitan area will have continued effects upon the system and associated features and facilities; and

WHEREAS, the Salt River Project Agricultural Improvement and Power District (SRP) operates and maintains the canal and lateral system and associated features and facilities pursuant to the contract between the United States and the Salt River Valley Waters Users Association (SRVWUA), dated September 6, 1917, such obligations of said contract having been assumed by SRP pursuant to a contract dated March 22, 1937, between SRP and SRVWUA, as amended, and SRP has participated in consultation and is a signatory to this Programmatic Agreement; and

WHEREAS, this Agreement is concerned solely with that portion of the SRP system that is owned by the United States or for which the United States has obtained easements, and this system includes nine main canals (the Arizona, South, Consolidated, Eastern, Grand, Tempe, Western, Highline, and Crosscut), approximately 1200 miles of secondary and tertiary laterals, most of which, but not all, are owned by the United States or for which the United States has easements, and associated facilities (the Fire Pond Pump House, Indian Bend Pump, Old Crosscut Ditch, the San Francisco Lateral, and the Granite Reef Diversion Dam); and

WHEREAS, portions of the canal and lateral system extend through the cities of Phoenix, Mesa, Tempe, Scottsdale, Paradise Valley, Chandler, Gilbert, Glendale, Peoria, Avondale, Tolleson, and Guadalupe, and these cities have participated in consultation and have been invited to concur in this Programmatic Agreement; and

WHEREAS, the Arizona Canal crosses the Salt River Pima-Maricopa Indian Community (SRPMIC), the SRPMIC has participated in consultation and has been invited to concur in this Programmatic Agreement; and

WHEREAS, the Arizona, South, Consolidated, Eastern, Grand, Tempe, Western, and Highline canals, the San Francisco Lateral, and the Old Crosscut Ditch have been determined eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places; and

WHEREAS, under a 1989 Memorandum of Agreement between Reclamation and the Arizona State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO), to which SRP and the Arizona Department of Transportation concurred, Historic American Engineering Records (HAER) documentation was determined to be adequate mitigation for any and all present and future adverse impacts to the Tempe, Western, and Grand canals, the Fire Pond Pump House, and the Indian Bend Pump and Old Crosscut Ditch. The aforementioned HAER documentation has been completed and, in addition, SRP has completed HAER documentation for the Highline, Arizona, and South Canals, the San Francisco Lateral, and the Granite Reef Diversion Dam, and is completing HAER documentation for the Eastern and Consolidated canals; and

WHEREAS, the Arizona SHPO has concurred with Reclamation that the additional HAER documentation that has been or is in the process of being completed constitutes adequate mitigation for any and all present and future adverse affects to the subject properties; and

WHEREAS, Reclamation is still required to consult on all undertakings affecting associated laterals;

NOW, THEREFORE, Reclamation, the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation (Council), the Arizona SHPO, and SRP agree that the following mitigative measures to SRP laterals shall be implemented and that once completed, no additional consultation shall be required for undertakings affecting these features except as specifically identified below. Furthermore, although HAER documentation has been completed and has been agreed upon as adequate mitigation for the main canals, Reclamation will consult with the Arizona SHPO on undertakings affecting the main canals in specially designated areas as described below.

STIPULATIONS

I. **Reclamation** shall carry out the following mitigative measures for SRP main canals and laterals:

A. **Reclamation** will document the contextual history of the SRP main canal system. SRP will provide Reclamation brief histories for the major pre-SRP canals identified under stipulation IV.A.2., below. Reclamation will provide a draft version of the contextual history to the SHPO, SRP, involved municipalities, SRPMIC, and other knowledgeable parties for a 30-day review. Reclamation shall take into account comments received on the draft history and prepare the final contextual history. Reclamation will complete the final contextual history within two years of the execution of this PA. Reclamation shall produce a minimum of 20 contextual histories for distribution to SRP, SHPO, SRPMIC, the Arizona Historical Society, Reclamation (Phoenix Area Office, Lower Colorado Regional Office, and Denver Office), Arizona State Archives, Arizona State University Library Special Collections, the University of Arizona Special Collections Library, Northern Arizona University Special Collections Library, Tempe Historical Museum, Mesa Southwest Museum, and the Public Libraries and administrative sections that handle

cultural resources for each of the involved municipalities.

B. **Reclamation**, working jointly with SRP and in consultation with the SHPO, involved municipalities, SRPMIC, and the public, will identify laterals that may be worthy of preservation (for instance, laterals occurring in "special areas" such as historic farmsteads that retain integrity of feeling and setting, in hsitoric districts, or pre-SRP (nineteenth century) laterals or canals). Reclamation, in consultation with SRP, SHPO, SRPMIC, the involved municipalities, and the public, will explore feasible means to preserve the integrity of as many of these laterals as possible. Within one year of the date of execution of this PA, Reclamation, working jointly with SRP and in consultation with the involved municipalities and the SHPO, shall develop a preliminary list of laterals worthy of preservation that shall be subject to review pursuant to stipulations II.B. and C., below. Also, Reclamation, working jointly with SRP, shall summarize these and additional laterals and recommended means to preserve them in the preservation plan outlined in stipulation I.D., below.

C. **Reclamation**, in partnership with SRP, will develop educational materials for the general public that explain and illustrate the history and significance of the canal system. Costs for public education will be shared equally by Reclamation and SRP, and the educational components will be designed and produced in consultation with each other. The educational materials may include, among other things, signage on the main canals, educational materials for schools, exhibits, brochures, museum partnerships, and so forth. Public education will be an ongoing effort for both Reclamation and SRP; however, some educational component will be developed within two to three years after implementation of the PA to coincide with the centennial celebrations of Reclamation (2002) and SRP (2003). Reclamation, working jointly with SRP, shall report the results of this effort in the preservation plan outlined in stipulation I.D., below.

D. **Reclamation**, working jointly with SRP, will prepare a preservation plan that outlines (1) the laterals that may be worthy of preservation and recommended measures for the preservation of as many as is possible (cf., stipulation I.B. above) and (2) proposed education materials to explain the history of the canal system to the public (cf., stipulation I.C. above). In addition, the plan will outline why the proposed laterals are worthy of preservation and will describe how, when, and by whom such preservation measures will be implemented. Reclamation will provide a draft version of this preservation plan to the SHPO, Council, SRPMIC, involved municipalities, and the public for a 30-day review. In addition, Reclamation shall sponsor public meetings or other venue by which the public can discuss and provide comments on the draft preservation plan. Reclamation shall take into account comments received on the draft preservation plan and distribute a final version of the preservation plan to the parties to this PA within three years of the date of execution of this PA. Thereafter, Reclamation shall implement the preservation plan.

II. **Reclamation** shall continue to consult with the SHPO on individual undertakings affecting SRP laterals as follows:

A. Certain urban areas within the SRP system have received local, state, or national historic designations. Other areas may receive this special designation in the future, including laterals identified as worthy of preservation in stipulations I.B. and I.D., above. Within one year of the

execution of this PA, **Reclamation,** in consultation with the SHPO, SRP, SRPMIC, and involved municipalities, will compile and maintain a list and map of these historically designated areas and shall annually update this list.

B. If an undertaking is planned that may affect an SRP-operated lateral within a local, state, or nationally designated historic property/district or area deserving of preservation as identified in the list or preservation plan (cf., stipulations I.B., I.D., II.A. above), SRP shall notify **Reclamation** as soon as possible. Reclamation, in consultation with SRP and the involved municipality, shall comply with 36 CFR Part 800.

C. If an undertaking is planned that may affect a lateral, **Reclamation** will survey that lateral for prehistoric archaeological properties and carry out the following review steps:

1. When no prehistoric archaeological properties are found as a result of Class III cultural resources survey of an undertaking's area of potential effects, **Reclamation** shall provide written documentation of the survey, survey results, and its finding that no historic properties are present in the area of potential effects to the SHPO. If the SHPO does not object within 10 working days, Reclamation may proceed with its undertaking. For undertakings on lands within the exterior boundaries of the SRPMIC, Reclamation shall also consult with the SRPMIC.

2. Occasionally, as a result of previous consultation between the SHPO and Reclamation, prehistoric archaeological properties within an undertaking's area of potential effect have already been determined to be eligible for the National Register of Historic Places. If such National Register-eligible properties will be avoided by project activities, **Reclamation** shall provide documentation of the survey and avoidance measures to SHPO. The SHPO shall have 15 days from date of receipt within which to object to Reclamation's finding of no historic property adversely affected. If the SHPO objects to the determination, Reclamation shall comply with 36 CFR Part 800.6. If the SHPO does not object within 15 days from date of receipt, Reclamation may assume the SHPO's concurrence and implement the undertaking with avoidance measures. For undertakings within the exterior boundaries of the SRPMIC, Reclamation shall also consult with the SRPMIC.

3. Avoidance of direct and indirect effects on prehistoric archaeological properties will ordinarily be understood to require retention of such properties in place and their protection against intentional and inadvertent damage resulting directly or indirectly from the undertaking.

4. When significant prehistoric archaeological properties may be adversely affected by O & M or other activities, Reclamation will consult with the SHPO, SRPMIC, Indian tribes, and other consulting parties pursuant to 36 CFR 800.

D. For undertakings affecting laterals not identified in the list prepared jointly by Reclamation and SRP as being considered worthy of preservation (stipulations I.B. and II. A., above), Reclamation

need only consult regarding prehistoric archaeological properties pursuant to stipulation II.C., above.

III. **Reclamation** shall continue to consult with the SHPO on individual undertakings affecting SRP Main Canals as follows:

A. Certain urban areas within the SRP system have received local, state, or national designations as historic properties or districts; other areas may receive this special designation in the future. These specially designated area may include main canals (cf. stipulation II.A., above) and have been identified in the preservation plan (cf., stipulation I.D. above). If an undertaking is planned that will adversely affect an SRP main canal within a local, state, or nationally designated historic property/district, **Reclamation** will follow the review process outlined in stipulation II.B.

B. **Reclamation** has surveyed all the main canals for prehistoric archaeological properties, and will continue to consult regarding undertakings affecting such prehistoric archaeological properties identified on the main canals in accordance with 36 CFR Part 800.

IV. **SRP** shall carry out the following mitigative measures for federally-owned laterals for which SRP has been delegated O&M responsibility:

A. Within **one** year from execution of this PA, **SRP** will identify potentially significant laterals, for example, laterals that pre-date SRP, dirt-lined laterals, and so forth (cf., preservation plan in stipulation I.D., above).

1. **SRP** will document the contextual history of the lateral system, discussing the methods used to develop the historical context, providing a general synthesis of the SRP lateral system, and identifying representative examples of types of laterals and/or special features (for example, an existing lateral developed prior to the founding of SRP or that exhibits significant or unique engineering features). The representative examples will be documented photographically using a 35mm format with archival quality black and white prints (for example, Arizona SHPO standards). A minimum of 20 contextual histories and photo sets will be printed for distribution as identified in stipulation I. A., above. SRP will complete the contextual history within two years of the execution of this PA.

2. **SRP**, working jointly with Reclamation, will identify laterals that occur in "special areas" (for example, historic farmsteads having integrity of setting and feeling) and pre-SRP laterals along the canal system that may be worthy of preservation, and will explore feasible means to preserve the integrity of as many of these laterals as possible.

3. **SRP**, in consultation with Reclamation, will identify areas along the system that have the potential in the future to be designated historic districts that would be subject to consultation (see stipulation I.B., above).

B. **SRP** will provide Reclamation brief histories for the major pre-SRP canals for use in the contextual history of the SRP main canal system that it will prepare under stipulation I.A., above.

C. SRP, in partnership with Reclamation, will develop educational materials for the general public that explain and illustrate the history and significance of the canal and lateral system. Costs for the educational component will be shared equally between SRP and Reclamation and will be designed and produced in consultation with each other. The educational materials may include, among other things, signage on the main canals, exhibits, educational materials for schools, brochures, museum partnerships, and so forth. SRP and Reclamation, in partnership, will try to identify and implement appropriate public education materials/programs to coincide with the centennial celebrations of Reclamation (2002) and SRP (2003).

V. Consultation with SRPMIC and Indian Tribes

Reclamation shall consult with SRPMIC for all undertakings on lands within the exterior boundaries of the SRPMIC. In addition, for undertakings affecting prehistoric archaeological properties, Reclamation shall consult with Indian tribes that may attach religious and cultural significance to such properties.

VI. Post-Review Discoveries of Archaeological Properties

Should Reclamation discover an unexpected archaeological property after completion of the review process outlined in this PA and for which no discovery plan was in place, Reclamation will consult with the SHPO to develop a plan for the treatment of the property. Also, Reclamation shall notify the Council of the discovered historic property and provide the Council a copy of the agreed-upon plan. If **Reclamation** and the SHPO are unable to agree on a treatment plan for the discovered historic property, **Reclamation** shall consult with the Council pursuant to 36 CFR 800.6(b)(2). For historic properties discovered within the exterior boundaries of the SRPMIC, Reclamation also shall consult with the SRPMIC.

VII. Annual Reports

No later than January 30, 2002, and annually thereafter, **Reclamation** shall provide an annual report to the signatories to this PA that describes the actions Reclamation has taken to fulfill the terms of this PA, problems encountered in fulfilling its terms, and suggestions for amendments to the PA. Also, Reclamation shall describe work proposed for the upcoming year to fulfill the PA. Finally, Reclamation shall provide to the SHPO documentation of historic properties identified in the conduct of this PA, including negative survey data. A summary of this documentation shall be provided to the other signatories to this PA.

VIII. Amendments to the Programmatic Agreement

Any consulting party to this Programmatic Agreement may request that it be amended, whereupon the parties will consult in accordance with 36 CFR 800.14(b) to consider such amendment.

IX. Dispute Resolution

A. Should any signatory to this Agreement object in writing to Reclamation regarding the manner in which the terms of this Agreement are carried out, or to any documentation or plan prepared in

accordance with and subject to this Agreement, Reclamation shall consult with the objecting party to address the objection. If resolution is not reached, Reclamation shall forward documentation relevant to the objection to the Council, including Reclamation's proposed response to the objection. Within 30 days after receipt of all pertinent documentation, the Council shall exercise one of the following options:

(1.) Concur with Reclamation's proposed response to the objection, whereupon Reclamation may proceed with its action in accordance with the agreed-upon response; or

(2.) Provide Reclamation with recommendations, which Reclamation shall take into account in reaching a final decision regarding its response to the objection. Upon reaching its final decision, Reclamation will notify the objecting party and Council of its final decision and proceed with its action; or

(3.) Notify Reclamation that the objection will be referred for comment pursuant to 36 CFR Sec. 800.7(a)(4). Thereafter, the Council shall comment and Reclamation shall respond in accordance with 36 CFR Sec. 800.7(c).

B. Should the Council not exercise one of the foregoing options within 30 days of receipt of all pertinent documentation, Reclamation may assume that the Council concurs with its proposed response to the objection, advise the objecting party of that response, and proceed with its action in a manner consistent with that response.

C. At any time during the implementation of this Agreement, should an objection be raised by a member of the public, Reclamation shall immediately notify the other signatories to writing of the objection and take the objection into account. Reclamation shall render a decision regarding the objection, taking into account the views of the signatories to the Agreement.

X. Duration

This Agreement shall remain in effect, unless amended or terminated, for a period of 12 years, at which time this Agreement is null and void. Thereafter, Reclamation shall comply with Section 106 in accordance with 36 CFR Part 800, which may include development, execution, and implementation of a Programmatic Agreement or similar document.

XI. Termination

Reclamation, SHPO, or the Council may terminate this Agreement by providing 30 days written notice to the other parties, provided that the parties consult during that period to seek agreement on amendments or other actions that would avoid termination. In the event of termination, **Reclamation** will comply with 36 CFR 800.3 through 800.7.

XII. Failure to Carry Out Terms of the Agreement

In the event that the terms of this Agreement are not carried out, **Reclamation** shall comply with 36 CFR

800.3 through 800.7 with regard to individual actions covered by this Agreement or with another applicable Programmatic Agreement.

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR, BUREAU OF RECLAMATION

By: _____ Date: 2/12/01
Carol Lynn Erwin, Area Manager

ADVISORY COUNCIL ON HISTORIC PRESERVATION

By: _____ Date: 2/13/01
John M. Fowler, Executive Director, ~~Western Office~~

SALT RIVER PROJECT

By: _____ Date: 2/12/01
Richard Hayslip, Manager, Environmental, Land, and Risk Management

ARIZONA STATE HISTORIC PRESERVATION OFFICER

By: _____ Date: 2/12/01
James Garrison
Arizona State Historic Preservation Officer

CONCUR:

SALT RIVER PIMA-MARICOPA INDIAN COMMUNITY

By: _____ Date: _____
Ivan Makil, Chairman

CITY OF AVONDALE

By: _____ Date: _____

Title: _____

CITY OF CHANDLER

By: _____ Date: _____

Title: _____

TOWN OF GILBERT

By: _____ Date: _____

Title: _____

CITY OF GLENDALE

By: _____ Date: _____

Title: _____

TOWN OF GUADALUPE

By: _____ Date: _____

Title: _____

CITY OF MESA

By: _____ Date: _____

Title: _____

TOWN OF PARADISE VALLEY

By: _____ Date: _____

Title: _____

CITY OF PEORIA

By: _____ Date: _____

Title: _____

CITY OF PHOENIX

By: _____ Date: _____

Title: _____

CITY OF SCOTTSDALE

By: _____ Date: _____

Title: _____

CITY OF TEMPE

By: _____ Date: _____

Title: _____

CITY OF TOLLESEON

By: _____ Date: _____

Title: _____